IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

M.C.C. NO. 303 /2006 Single Bench

(Arising out of the W.P. No. 886/2006)

APPLICANTS RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

2. Under Secretary, Public Works Department, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

3. Engineer-in-Chief, Public Works Department, Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Raipur.

4. Superintending Engineer, Public Works Department, Durg Circle, Durg, District: Durg (Chhattisgarh)

5. Executive Engineer (B&R), Public Works Department, Khairagarh Division, Khairagarh, District: Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh).

P.R. No. 1616/06 Presented by Shri ... dated 13/10/06

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANT PETITIONER :

M.D. Sonkevare, S/o Shri P.D. Sonkevare, Aged about 33 years, Assistant Engineer (Suspended), Office of the Superintending Engineer, Public Works Department, Durg, Tahsil & District: Durg (Chhattisgarh)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-2073/06 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DECIDING REPRESENTATION

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक MCC 303/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Cont.Pet.No.108/06, 109/06 & 110/06 and
MCC No.301/06, 302/06 & 303/06

10-7-2006

Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, counsel for the petitioners/ respondents.

Shri Prashant Mishra, Addl. Advocate General for the State/applicant.

Heard.

This common order shall dispose of Contempt Petition Nos. 108/2006, 109/2006 & 110/2006 and M.C.C. Nos.301/2006, 302/2006 & 303/2006.

It is pointed out that while disposing of writ petitions filed by the petitioners/respondents, this Court directed the State of Chhattisgarh and the authorities concerned to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of production of the representation. It is further pointed out that in compliance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioners submitted their representations before the concerned authorities, but the same have not been decided till date.

It is pointed out that the State has also filed MCC for extension of time in deciding the representation of the petitioner herein on the ground that due to bona fide reasons, the same could

(पीछे देखिये)

C-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन् 200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

not be decided within the stipulated period. It is stated that there is no mala fide.

The representation ought to have been decided. However, in the ends of justice time is granted.

Let the representation now be decided by the competent authority within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of the copy of this order.

In that view of the matter, Contempt Petition Nos. 108/2006, 109/2006 & 110/2006 and M.C.C. Nos.301/2006, 302/2006 & 303/2006 stand disposed of at this stage.

Copy of this order be placed in Contempt Petition Nos. 108/2006, 109/2006 & 110/2006 and M.C.C. Nos.301/2006, 302/2006 & 303/2006.

C.C.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Gowri/H